

Alde and Ore Futures Community Conference Feedback Form Results

Total of 48 forms returned plus two additional contact information submissions.

1. The Event

- 67% found the pre-conference information average.
- 90% found the venue excellent, 8% found it good.
- 79% reported excellent ability to see the presentations, 17% good.
- 85% reported excellent ability to hear the presentations, 12% good.
- 60% reported that the stands in the foyer and upstairs were good, 13% that they were excellent, and 4% that they were average.
- 'Drivers for Change' presentation was generally believed to be either excellent (41%) or good (47%).
- 'The Dutch approach' presentation was largely judged to be excellent (31%) or good (37%).
- 'The Alde and Ore Challenge' presentation was considered to be excellent (52%) and good (43%).
- Only 6% considered the workshops and feedback excellent, 48% considered them good, and 12% thought them average. One commented that they were 'too rushed'.
- 12% thought the Q&A session was excellent, 50% thought them good, 11% did not reply.
- 54% thought the Alde and Ore Futures project was well explained, 27% thought it was excellent, 8% found it average, while one respondent rated it poor.
- 46% found the conference overall to be excellent, 38% found it good, and 15% declined to give an answer.

- 58% found that they were able to ask any question they had, 8% found they were not able to do so, and the remainder did not respond.

- 12% felt they had their questions answered satisfactorily, 2% did not, 65% did not respond.

2. Alde and Ore Futures.

- The overwhelming majority, 96%, believe that the Alde and Ore area will face major challenges in the future. The remainder did not respond.
- A majority of 91% support the Alde and Ore Futures approach for this area, 2% did not know, and 6% did not respond.

3. Future topic areas

	Community	Landowners	Business	Environment and recreation
Wish to be kept up to date	29	15	19	34
Wish to participate	18	6	8	23

- 92% wished to be invited to the follow-up meeting next spring, 8% did not respond, no one declined.

Additional comments

“More background required for those of us not directly involved” (x4)

“Sizwell is outside the remit of this conference but it is my biggest concerns”

“The main reason for our interest is the problem of coastal erosion on the Ness”

“The direction is too orientated to groups who do not live in the area”

“I am not convinced this project will be able to obtain the funding that is needed to fulfil local communities needs. Compensation for loss of land/housing/business is a key issue to take upwards” (x2)

“Impact of the LDF”

“Short term, the Slaughden breach will not as I understand it happen so worries are longer term or I may be naïve”

“Young people must be involved – it is there future” (x3)

“Flooding must not be No/1 on all aspects. Getting past government closed doors”